

Appl. No. 10/669,582
Atty. Docket No. 7791MC2L
Amdt. dated October 12, 2004
Reply to Office Action of 8/13/2004
Customer No. 27752

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 8-10 and 13-16 remain in the case. Claim 8 has been amended to re-insert the percentage limitations of dye fixing agents and fabric abrasion reducing polymers, as recited at page 5, lines 1 and 7 - i.e., the dye fixing agent and the fabric abrasion reducing polymer, respectively. All claims depending from Claim 8 carry these limitations. It is submitted that the amendments are fully supported, and entry is requested.

Rejections Under 35 USC §112

It is submitted that the amendments presented herewith fully meet the rejections at page 2 of the Office Action. Withdrawal of the rejections is requested.

Amendments to the Specification


The Specification has been amended as required by the Examiner. Basis for the brief descriptive sentence used therein is found at page 27, lines 1-8.

In light of the foregoing, early and favorable action in the case is requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Neil James Gordon

By


Jerry J. Yetter
Attorney for Applicant(s)
Registration No. 26,598
(513) 627-2996

October 12, 2004
Customer No. 27752